EXHIBIT 4

1	
2	
3	
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5	DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS
6	-000-
7 8	In Re: PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRY AVERAGE WHOLESALE PRICE LITIGATION
9	MDL DOCKET NO. CIVIL ACTION
10	01CV12257-PBS THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:
11	ALL ACTIONS
12	
13	
14	ROUGH DRAFT TRANSCRIPT
15	VOLUME I
16	DEPOSITION OF
17	CHARLES DUARTE
18	NOVEMBER 15, 2005
19	CARSON CITY, Nevada
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	REPORTED BY: STEPHANIE ZOLKOWSKI CCR 283
25	COMPUTER-ASSISTED TRANSCRIPTION BY: caseCATalvst

1

		z1707.txt
1	A P	PEARANCES
2		
3	FOR GLAXO SMITHKLINE:	COVINGTON & BURLING By: RONALD G. DOVE, JR., ESQ.
4		and JASON R. LITOW, ESQ.
5		1201 Pennsylvania Ave. NW Washington, DC 20004-2401
6	FOR STATE OF NEVADA:	HAGENS BERMAN, LLP
7		By: JENIPHR BRECKENRIDGE, ESQ. 1301 Fifth Ave.
8		Seattle, Washington 98101
9		L. TIMOTHY TERRY, DIRECTOR OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
10		100 N. Carson St. Carson City, Nevada 89701
11	FOR DEY:	KELLEY DRYE WARREN
12	TOR DET.	BY: CHRIS PALERMO, ESQ. 101 Park Ave.
13		New York, New York 10178 (Via telephone)
14	FOR ABBOTT:	JONES DAY
15		BY: TARA SUMERTON, ESQ. 77 W. Wacker Dr.
16		Chicago, Illinois 60601 (Via telephone)
17	FOR PEZA:	SONNENSCHEIN NATCH & ROSENTHAL
18		BY: SARAH MINCHENER, ESQ. 1303 K Street NW
19		Washington, DC 20005 (Via telephone)
20	FOR ACTRACEMECA:	DAVIS POLK & WARDWELL
21	FOR ASTRACENECA:	BY: REID SCKIBBLL, ESQ.
22		450 Lexington New York, New York 10017
23		(Via telephone)
24		
25		
		2

U

1			Α	Р	Р	E	A	R	Α	N	C	E	S		
2									4						
3	FOR	NORVATIS	•					Зу.		JE				FREI-PEARSON,	ESQ.

z1707.txt 425 Park Ave. New York, New York 10022 (Via telephone) SCOOK HARDY & BACON By: BRIAN FEDOTIN, ESQ. 2555 Grand Blvd. FOR AVENTIS: Kansas City, Missouri 64108 (Via telephone) INDEX **EXAMINATION PAGE** By Mr. Dove

U

z1707.txt 6 7 EXHIBITS 8 NUMBER **PAGE** 9 Exhibit 001 Duarte Deposition Notice NV 03714 to NV 03734 Exhibit 002 Duarte NV 03760 to NV 03793 Exhibit 003 Duarte 10 Exhibit 004 Duarte Interrogatories Exhibit 005 Duarte NV 04001 to NV04031 11 NV 03883 Exhibit 006 Duarte 12 Exhibit 007 Duarte NV 03884 to NV 03885 NV 04061 to NV 04069 NV 04077 to NV 04078 Medicaid Action Exhibit 008 Duarte 13 Exhibit 009 Duarte Exhibit 010 Duarte 14 Transmittal Portion Medicare Exhibit 011 Duarte 15 Medicaid Guide Exhibit 012 Duarte Memorandum 11-6-92 To Toby from Mitchell 16 Memorandum 5-31-96 to Exhibit 013 Duarte 17 Vladeck from Brown Memorandum 4-10-97 to Exhibit 014 Duarte Vladeck from Brown 18 Exhibit 015 Duarte Inspector General 10-03 19 Report NV 00187 to NV 00228 Exhibit 016 Duarte 20 Exhibit 017 Duarte Article Las Vegas Review 21 22 23 24 25

4

U

BE IT REMEMBERED that on TUESDAY, the 15th day
of NOVEMBER, 2005, at the hour of 9:10 AM of said day,
at the offices of OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL, 100
North Carson Street, Carson City, Nevada, before me,
STEPHANIE ZOLKOWSKI, a notary public, personally
appeared CHARLES DUARTE, who was by me first duly
sworn and was examined as a witness in said cause.

z1707.txt 9 -000-10 ROUGH DRAFT TRANSCRIPT 11 12 CHARLES DUARTE, called as a witness herein, having been 13 14 duly sworn, testified as follows: 15 16 **EXAMINATION** 17 BY MR. DOVE: Good morning, Mr. Duarte. 18 Q 19 Good morning. Α My name is Ross Dove. I'm the attorney for 20 21 the drug company Glaxco Smithkline, one of the Defendants in this action. 22 Would you please state your full name for the 23 24 record. Charles Duarte. 25 Α 5

How do you spell your last name? 2 Α D-u-a-r-t-e. And what is your current business address? 3 Q 1100 East William Street, suite 101, Carson 4 5 City, Nevada 89701. Have you ever been deposed before? 6 Q 7 Yes, I have. Α On how many occasions? 8 Q 9 Two. Α

3

1

10

0

And what type of proceedings were those Q

21 A I'm not sure what her reasons for contacting
22 me were.
23 Q Did she have any particular concerns about
24 the Nevada Medicaid program that she thought you were
25 the right person to try and fix?

35

1 MS. BRECKENRIDGE: Objection.

You can go ahead and answer it's unlikely

3 I'll instruct you not to answer anything today. I do

4 need to preserve my objection.

5 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure I understand the

6 question could you repeat it.

7 BY MR. DOVE:

3

8 Q Reads it back, please.

9 (Record read.)

10 THE WITNESS: She didn't communicate to me

11 any concerns that she had about the program that she

12 wanted me to fix.

13 BY MR. DOVE:

14 Q You stated this earlier but again what is

15 your official job title now?

16 A I'm the administrator for the Division of

17 health care financing and policy.

18 And if I may I should probably go back to the

19 prior question about Miss Crawford concerns.

20 She didn't have concerns per se but had asked

21 me to fully develop the capacity the policy capacity

of the division stabilize staffing and develop an

are presented here in the inquiry. Not all the entirety of the time frame suggested.

Q When you say you're familiar with some
aspects during certain time frames maybe if you could
explain what aspects are you knowledgeable about and
what time periods are you knowledgeable about?

19 A I'm more familiar with the terms and use of 20 AWP, MAC, best price, Federal Supply Schedule and VA 21 schedule.

I am not sure what is meant by price, cost or benchmark specifically the term how you're using the term metric. And again my familiarity with these issues is probably more in depth related to the time

57

S

- 1 frame of my tenure as Nevada state Medicaid
- 2 administrator 2000 to the current time.
- 3 Q Are you knowledgeable regarding the items
- 4 listed in one d documents created by or received from
- 5 federal agencies or the national association of
- 6 Medicaid fraud control units, national association of
- 7 attorneys general or PAL relating to prices, costs or
- 8 reimbursements for pharmaceutical products from
- 9 January 1985 to the present?
- 10 A No. Not to any degree. I don't normally see
- 11 these publications. I'm not sure what PAL means.
- 12 Could you define that for me?
- 13 Q It's prescription access litigation I
- 14 believe.

25 office.

25

62

R

1 Are you knowledgeable regarding item one n, 2 Defendants alleged use of free goods, samples, 3 educational grants, off-invoice price inducements or other incentives to providers to purchase Defendants 4 drugs? 5 6 Not directly. Α 7 who would be knowledgeable about that topic? I don't know for certain. I've heard it 8 discussed by physicians in the community, by 9 10 pharmacists. But nothing that I can say is factual. Just what they told me. 11 Moving onto item 2 a are you familiar with --12 knowledgeable regarding the data concerning 13 14 reimbursements to providers for the purchase of subjects drugs? 15 16 Α Yes. 17 Can I clarify something? 18 Q Sure. 19 As administrator I am responsible for policy and overall administration of the division. I'm not 20 21 the ID manager. I'm not the claims administrator for pharmacy benefits. Those kind of detail level of 22 information probably is best sought from staff that do 23 24 that directly.

In going through these questions if there's

I

```
z1707.txt
23
               Do you know who the Deputy administrator of
      Nevada Medicaid was in 1986?
24
               I do not.
25
                                                            99
               Do you know who the chef of Nevada Medicaid
 1
 2
      was in 1986?
 3
               I do not.
               Do you know who the pharmaceutical consultant
 4
      was in 1986 for Nevada Medicaid?
 5
               I do not.
 6
           Α
               MR. TERRY: Laurie Squartsoff.
 7
               THE WITNESS: Was it Laurie Squartsoff?
 8
                             I'm pretty sure it is.
 9
               MR. TERRY:
               THE WITNESS: Could we take a break?
10
11
               MR. DOVE: Sure.
               (Recess.)
12
               MR. DOVE: I would like to mark as Exhibit 3
13
      a document bates labeled NV 03760 entitled State of
14
      Nevada Department of human resources division of
15
      health care financing policy authorized records
16
      retention and disposition schedules June 9, 2004.
17
            (Exhibit number 3 marked for identification.)
18
19
      BY MR. DOVE:
20
               I ask the witness if you could please
      identify that document.
21
22
               I have seen it before.
               Is this the document retention policy that is
23
      currently in effect?
24
               I believe so, yes.
25
                             Page 92
```

100 I

1	Q Is this the same policy that was in effect
2	when Nevada filed it's lawsuit in this case?
3	A I'm not sure when the lawsuit was filed.
4	Q Filed in 2002.
5	A Some aspects of it were revised after that
6	time frame. But for the most part policies remained
7	the same.
8	Q Do you know in particular certain changes
9	that have been made since that time?
10	A I don't know the specific changes that were
11	made.
12	Q Does the State keep copies of it's prior
13	document retention policies?
14	A Not to my knowledge.
15	Q I know in discussion with counsel earlier
16	today plaintiff's will be producing either a draft or
17	an earlier version of this policy to Defendants. We
18	would certainly ask if there are other policies that
19	were in effect during any time from 1991 to the
20	present we with ask those be produced.
21	MS. BRECKENRIDGE: For the record we have
22	produced what we were able to find this morning.
23	We're not going to produce it. We did produce it. I
24	produce a bates stamp copy next week but we provided
25	your colleague what I believe is a draft of this

- 1 policy. You're welcome to ask the witnesses questions
- 2 about our efforts to find these policies but we have
- 3 searched for them more than one and this is what we
- 4 could find.
- 5 BY MR. DOVE:
- 6 Q Who is primarily responsible for adminstering
- 7 the document retention policy?
- 8 A Primarily it falls to our administrative
- 9 assistants, our secretarial staff to make sure records
- 10 are retained in that property yet manner consistent
- 11 with policy. Each individuals also has individuals
- 12 hall so has responsibility to make sure records are
- 13 retained according to schedule.
- 14 Q Are you aware of any instance in your
- 15 division where an individual has been found to have
- 16 violated the document retention policy?
- 17 A Not to my knowledge.
- 18 Q Does this document retention policy cover
- 19 electronic document including email?
- 20 A I do not believe it does.
- 21 Q Is there a policy in place that does cover
- 22 electronic document such as email?
- 23 A Not to my knowledge.
- 24 MR. DOVE: Whoever is typing if they could
- 25 please put that on mute. Thanks.

102

2 Q Turn to page NV 03761 under the section 3 labeled disposition holds include litigation. 4 Do you see that? Yes, I do. 5 Α 6 MS. BRECKENRIDGE: What was the page number? 7 THE WITNESS: Here. 8 BY MR. DOVE: This section on disposition holds requires an 9 agency to take certain steps to preserve documents 10 when it learns of litigation is such a hold in effect 11 as a result of this case. 12 I don't believe that we have specifically put 13 a hold on that. But we have not issued a specific 14 order to put a hold on that. 15 So specifically the first sentence under the 16 17 section entitled litigation states when an agency received notification that a lawsuit has been filed 18 against or in behalf of them they shouldimmediately 19 20 consult their legal counsel and/or the Attorney General's office. 21 22 We have done so. Second section states that all records 23 pertaining to the litigation should be identified 24

103

E

25

- 1 A We have done that.
- 2 Q Third sentence says all destruction of

separated from other file and protected.

3 records pertaining to the lawsuit must be stopped

```
z1707.txt
 4
      until the legal action has been resolved.
               We have done that.
 5
               Has the division issued any sort of notice to
 6
           0
 7
      the personnel division regarding this litigation and
      the responsibility of each person regarding retaining
 8
      documents while the litigation is pending?
 9
               Not specifically on retention of documents
10
      other than a what we have here. But with respect to
11
      the two prior sentences we're were asked to provide
12
      and I have assigned specific staff to document all the
13
      requested information and provide it through counsel
14
      to you. We have done so and I believe we retained
15
      records I mean copies of those as well.
16
               What assurances would Defendants have that
17
      documents that relate to subject matter of the
18
      litigation but yet fall outside the time periods in
19
      this document retention policy are being are
20
     nevertheless being maintained?
21
               Let me make sure I understand the question.
22
     Are you asking me whether I can provide assurance that
23
      all documentation associated with the lawsuit going
24
25
     back to the period in question 1985 were complied
                                                          104
```

E

with? 1 2 Q Yes. 3 I don't know. Α Do you know who would know that answer? 4 Q 5 Α No. I do not. 6 MS. BRECKENRIDGE: Other than counsel?

7 MR. DOVE: Other than counsel, yes. 8 BY MR. DOVE: Turn to page NV 03762, the section entitled 9 hearings files provider complaints. 10 what specific types of documents would fall 11 12 into this category? Besides specific hearings files? I'm sorry. 13 This would involve providers who are filing a 14 15 complaint related to a policy or a reimbursement. So if a pharmacy complained about 16 17 reimbursement rates for example, that letter would be retained for at least six years is that right? 18 19 If they requested it -- if they indicate it 20 as an appeal then yes. They indicate it's a formal appeal then yes 21 22 but if it were an informal letter complaining about a particular reimbursement rate? 23

105

Ε

2425

4 5

6

appeal.

Q Moving to page 3764 under rate development data base what specific documents data are included under this category?

A Fortunately this is an outdated policy from the standpoint that we no longer use Quatro and Paradox software we now use Medicaid management

We may or may not have treated it as an

7 information system for the development of utilization

8 transit forecast patterns and utilization variables

z1707.txt 9 that lead to better development of rates. Additionally there is a set of other 10 documents that we retain more relate to cost 11 information provided by facilities or providers that 12 we are providing categories that we're researching for 13 rate development. 14 Would this category include any studies or 15 data relating to reimbursement rate for prescription 16 drugs? 17 It could. 18 Α If it did such data or document would need to Q 19 be retained for minimum of six calendar years? 20 21 Correct. Α Certainly from Defendants perspective any 22 such information would have to be retained because 23 it's relevant to the litigation and thus fall under 24 the litigation hold but we can leave that there. 25

106

E

1 If you could turn to page 3778 under the category Medicaid reimbursements what specific 2 document or data would be included under that 3 4 category? These would be files related to -- these are 5 more related to expenditure reports that that we 6 7 develop in the fiscal area also reports to the federal government related to some of our Medicaid 8 expenditures. This could also include files for 9 specific providers of provider categories for which 10 11 rate policies and reimbursement methods have been Page 98

12 revised or updated.

- 13 Q Could this include information relating to
- 14 prescription drug reimbursement?
- 15 A It could.
- 16 Q Turn with me to page 3780. Specifically the
- 17 category at the top label NDC codes medical supplies.
- 18 Do you see that?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q Do the medical supplies refer to in this
- 21 category would they include or could they include
- 22 prescription drugs?
- 23 A Not to my knowledge.
- Q When it's talking about a unit AWP price and
- 25 this category is taking about an AWP for a supply?

107

t

- 1 A I believe so, yes.
- 2 Q And then if you could turn with me to 3786
- 3 and to the category titled provider files do you see
- 4 that?
- 5 A Yes, sir.
- 6 Q What documents are included in this category?
- 7 A I think the documents are spelled out there I
- 8 could recite them.
- 9 Q There's no need to recite those.
- 10 Would these document include contracts with
- 11 pharmacies and physicians? It appears that they
- 12 might.
- 13 A Yes.

would this category include complaints from 14 15 providers or physicians? I don't believe so. 16 Α If you could turn to page 3789 to the 17 18 category entitled state plan. Do you see that? 19 Α Yes. What specific document would be included 20 Q under this category? 21 22 The State plan. Would that include any amendments to the 23 24 plan? 25 Α Yes. 108 With that include amendments that would 1 relate to changes to reimbursement rates? 2 3 If it required a change to the State plan, yes. 4 where are the state archives located that are 5 Q 6 referenced in this category? 7 I don't know their address but they're in 8 Carson City. Do you know if the state archives were 9 10 searched for document responsive to Defendants request in this case? 11 I believe so but probably be best to address 12 13 that question to John Liveratti. Is Mr. Liveratti responsible for organizing 14 the search for response for document in Defendants 15 16 request?

t

Yes. Some aspects of it. I had Miss 17 Lawrence responsibilty for a specific areas where she 18 19 may have records in her unit. As well as working with personnel services to provide additional infomation. 20 21 But John was response for primarily the archives 22 search which is and any searchs within our own agency 23 files. 24 Going back to page 3778 for a minute under 25 Medicaid reimbursement you may have said this I want

109

t

- 1 to be clear, would this category include electronic
- 2 claims data?
- 3 A I don't believe so.
- 4 O Medicaid reimbursements?
- 5 MS. BRECKENRIDGE: I must have missed the
- 6 page number.
- 7 MR. DOVE: 3778.
- 8 THE WITNESS: Again I don't know I'm not sure
- 9 if it includes claims data.
- 10 BY MR. DOVE:
- 11 Q I believe you said earlier you didn't know if
- 12 there was a policy but just to be clear does the State
- 13 Avenue current retention policy for internal
- 14 electronic document such as email words processing
- document spread sheets or electronic presentations?
- 16 A No we do not have a policy.
- 17 Q Has this always been the case since you have
- 18 been at Nevada Medicaid?

20 Each individual is responsible for retention
21 on their own PC of records as far as they can what
22 they want to archive them. Each of us maintains
23 personnel archives of records but we only retain
24 several months of electronic records for email

25 transactions within the agency.

110

t

1 Have personnel within the agency been Q 2 instructed to retain all electric income documents 3 including email words processing document that are responsive to Defendants document requests in this 4 5 case? They were not instructed so but they were 6 instructed to provide all information they had 7 8 available to Mr. Liveratti in order to provide counsel and you with that information. 9 Has any global search of the email system 10 been conducted for document responsive to Defendants 11 12 discovery requests? 13 I don't believe so. 14 Q Has any --It may have actually. I'm not certain. 15 Α John Liveratti would be the one to ask that 16 Q 17 question? 18 Α Or Mr. Rosenberg. What's the states retention policy with 19 20 regard to documents and data relating to the Medicaid 21 rebate program? Page 102

22 A I don't know specifically as relates to 23 rebates. My recollection was we keep that information 24 for up to six years. We may have some other 25 information archived. But I'm not certain.

111

t

Earlier we talked about the public employee 1 2 benefits funds. Do you recall that testimony? 3 Yes. Do employees of the division of health care 4 5 policy and financing do they receive their prescription drug benefits through the public 6 emplovees plan? 7 8 If they elect to enroll in the public employee plan then yes. 9 Do they have any other options? 10 Some may. Some may that be eligible because 11 12 of part time employment. Some May have other options through their spouse or otherwise. 13 Earlier you had listed for me certain state 14 agencies and other states affiliated entities that 15 either purchased prescription drugs or were involved 16 17 in the reimbursement of prescription drugs. Do you recall that testimony? 18 19 Α Yes. I would like to go back to some of those 20 agencies and ask you a few more questions about that. 21 22 You mentioned the State pharmacy assistance 23 program.